Direct Imaging of DNA Fibers: The Visage of Double Helix
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ABSTRACT: Direct imaging becomes important when the knowledge at few/single molecule level is requested and where the diffraction does not allow to get structural and functional information. Here we report on the direct imaging of double stranded (ds) λ-DNA in the A conformation, obtained by combining a novel sample preparation method based on super hydrophobic DNA molecules self-aggregation process with transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The experimental breakthrough is the production of robust and highly ordered paired DNA nanofibers that allowed its direct TEM imaging and the double helix structure revealing.
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The determination of the structure of DNA represented a important historical event and revealed its fundamental role in biology and life science. However, the understanding of DNA was mainly related to its genetic content that, we now know, represents only a small percentage, about 3%, of the whole information content.1

The role of the noncoding content, about 97% of our overall genetic material, remained elusive up to recent time, where important discoveries were made on the relationship between “noncoding DNA”, micro RNA, and the so-called “nonfunctional” or “evolutionary relics” genes.2

New direct methods are now necessary to understand the complex relationships between DNA, proteins, micro RNAs and transcription factors.3

Traditionally, the use of diffraction in determining the structure of macro molecules is related to sample preparation optimization and to obtaining high quality crystals or fibers. Unfortunately, only in a minority of cases the crystal can be obtained. An appealing alternative would be to have methods and tools allowing direct imaging of the molecule. In this case, the need of having an organized structure to be solved by diffraction methods would not be required. Nevertheless, there is a deeper reason for trying to develop direct imaging methods: functional information is strictly related to the knowledge of specific epigenetic signatures at level of single molecule. This means that we need tools to unveil the interaction, the structure, the state (genetic, epigenetic conditions) and the function of the coding and noncoding content of a DNA molecule.4,5 In this work, we aimed our effort at direct DNA imaging methods.

Transmission electron microscopy is a technique that allows imaging with intrinsic spatial resolution at atomic scale. When working with few biomolecules, there are some factors that worsen the final resolution of the obtained images: (i) the poor phase (or absorption) contrast of atomic species constituting the molecule compared to that of the substrate, where the molecule is sitting; (ii) the tendency of the molecule to be rapidly damaged when investigated by a high energy electron beam.6-8 However, the situation can dramatically be improved if the molecule is suspended and background free. Under these conditions, the background noise is removed and the spatial resolution is primarily dictated by the intrinsic scattering and absorption cross section properties of the molecule itself, the homogeneity and regularity of the molecular aggregate and by the detector features. The backbone of DNA, formed by
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phosphates and sugars may have enough TEM contrast even when few aligned DNA molecules have to be imaged. In previous experiments, we were able to control the deposition of few DNA molecules on a silicon micropatterned device designed to mimic super hydrophobic (SH) surface.\textsuperscript{9} Besides, as a consequence of the deposition method, suspended DNA fibers were observed. In the present experiment, modifying the DNA deposition conditions as well as the device structure, thin and stable suspended DNA fibers could be investigated by the TEM high energy electron beam. The concept exploited for TEM direct imaging: the passing through etched hole allows the suspended DNA to be orthogonally crossed by the microscope electron beam; \textit{condition sine qua non}: the hole needs to be in line with the pillars in order to obtain a DNA bundle alignment permitting the TEM imaging. The suspended and aligned DNA bundle is directly imaged through the hole by TEM (e). Scale bar in panel a = 10 \( \mu \)m; in panels b, c = 1 \( \mu \)m; in panel e = 500 nm.

Figure 1. Super hydrophobic DNA molecules self-aggregation and SEM and TEM imaging. SEM images (a, b, c), sketch (d), and TEM image (e) of \( \lambda \)-DNA fibers suspended on super hydrophobic pillared devices. In detail, an overview of DNA bundles hanging on top of pillars (a) and its zoom-in tilted view (b) and top view (c) showing the DNA bundle located exactly on top of the passing through substrate hole. The sketch (d) elucidates the concept exploited for TEM direct imaging: the passing through etched hole allows the suspended DNA to be orthogonally crossed by the microscope electron beam; \textit{condition sine qua non}: the hole needs to be in line with the pillars in order to obtain a DNA bundle alignment permitting the TEM imaging. The suspended and aligned DNA bundle is directly imaged through the hole by TEM (e). Scale bar in panel a = 10 \( \mu \)m; in panels b, c = 1 \( \mu \)m; in panel e = 500 nm.

Figure 2. TEM image with intensity profile and corresponding FFT pitch calculation of \( \lambda \)-DNA fibers. (a) DNA fiber TEM image. The inset shows higher magnification DNA fiber details; the red arrows point out the 2.7 nm pitch of A double helix. The scale bar corresponds to a length of 20 nm. In panel b, a white rectangle is superimposed, showing where the intensity profile was measured. The peaks in plot c correspond to the alternation of bright and dark bands in the original image (b): plot c displays a two-dimensional graph where the Y-axis reports the pixel intensity integrated along the height of the rectangle and the X-axis represents the distance measured on the rectangle. Plot d shows the FFT of the signal displayed in plot c: a well-defined maximum is observed at 0.37 \pm 0.02 1/nm, corresponding to a frequency of 2.7 \pm 0.2 nm.
hydrophobic surface (Figure SI 1–3, Supporting Information), whose function is to allow the free passage of the electron beam during TEM measurements (background free imaging). The preparation in view of the TEM imaging was obtained by allowing the water evaporation from the original liquid sample, at room temperature and 50% relative humidity. The superhydrophobic surface was treated in a way that the adhesion force between it and the water was very low (friction coefficient about 0.02) and, during the evaporation, the pinning of the drop was avoided. Under this condition, after the evaporation, DNA molecules could be deposited suspended and well tense between the pillars, and more importantly several DNA bundles resulted suspended in correspondence of the holes. The suspended DNA bundles have well reproducible diameter, between 8 and 200 nm at our salinity conditions (see Methods). In Figure 1a is reported a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) overview of this superhydrophobic pillared device, in panel b and c of Figure 1 are reported a suspended DNA bundle well aligned with the substrate hole, and in Figure 1e is seen its low magnification TEM image. In this last picture, the suspended DNA, in substrate free configuration, can easily be observed.

As shown in the sketch of Figure 1, the suspended DNA corresponding to the hole was brought under the electron beam for obtaining direct TEM images. We observed several DNA bundles with different diameter, and in Figure 2 one of the smallest fibers is shown, whose diameter imaged by 100 keV electron beam is about 8 nm, the periodicity is clearly observed. In the inset of Figure 2a, a magnified portion of the bundle is shown. About 10 periods of DNA can be seen in details. We notice that the period measures 2.7 ± 0.2 nm, corresponding to that known for ds λ-DNA in A conformation. In panels c of Figure 2, the fiber length measurements of the white selection in b is reported. In panel d, the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the metrological plot is shown, confirming that the dominant spatial frequency is 2.7 nm.

We underline that by this sample preparation the influence of the substrate was completely removed and, in all meaning, the TEM measurement can be then considered substrate free. As a significant consequence, it allowed to get DNA bundles with strong mechanical stability under electron beam up to 100 keV accelerating energy and beam current in the pA range. Besides, due to their well ordered fiber structure, the structural information of single ds λ-DNA there contained was clearly imaged. All these features permitted to obtain DNA structural details with fundamental metrological precise determination. Two diverse and independent simulations approach allowed to infer that a bundle is formed by paired DNA. This means that ds DNA filaments are aligned with their period along the z axis of the helix.

In the first simulation approach we obtained the TEM image of an isolated DNA bundle (background free) by imposing that the smaller bundle whose diameter is equal to 8 nm, is formed by 1 + 6 ds λ-DNA as shown in Figure 3a (see Methods).

This bundle structure is in agreement with experimental TEM images (Figure 2), both in terms of diameter and periodicity. In fact, the 6 + 1 simulated structure has a bundle diameter very close to that really measured and shown above, and the phase contrast TEM image (Figure 3c), calculated at the experimental conditions, well reproduces the DNA helix pitch as observed (red arrows in the inset of Figure 2), measured and reported in Figure 2b–d. Notice that also the experimental and theoretical intensity profile corresponds very well: peaks and minima are shifted by half DNA pitch in the two sides of the bundle. In the Methods section, we report the TEM image simulation of more complex bundle structure (Figure SI 4b, Supporting Information), giving details on the relevant parameters used. It is worth notice that if we introduce a random misalignment along z axis, larger than 2 base pairs, the periodicity is lost and a blurred image appears in the simulation (Figure SI 5–6, Supporting Information).

Figure 4 reports a sketch of the evaporation mechanism on the super hydrophobic surface, where the shear/pulling generated by the radial convection flux and by the drop receding during the evaporation, tend to stretch ds λ-DNA filaments between pillars, as long as the capillary forces, in the perpendicular direction, “push” them to aggregate in bundle during the latest drying phase.10

In order to further support the model of paired DNA structure, we performed Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations (see Methods) where we accounted for water evaporation during the bundle aggregation. We started with ds λ-DNA filaments at a relative distance of 1 nm and a water volume of 100 × 100 × 100 Å³. The ds λ-DNA molecules were left free to move along z direction but constrained by a harmonic oscillator potential in the orthogonal direction. This last choice was suggested by the aggregation mechanism, where capillary forces are responsible for “pushing” together the ds λ-DNA filaments during the last phase of the evaporation.
In Figure 5a, we report the MD aggregation mechanism and its evolution as a function of the starting relative distance between the DNA filaments and a decreasing number of water molecules surrounding the bundle. In Figure 5b, the equilibrium separation distance of the double helix filaments is shown, as obtained from the starting condition reported in Figure 5a, and reached after about 10 ns. The equilibrium distance, center to center, is equal to 2.5 nm and the external backbone distance is 0.3 nm. It is important to remark that also these results well agree with that observed by TEM imaging. The whole picture that comes from these results is that both the shear/pulling and the capillary forces, acting as a consequence of the present sample preparation method, are compatible with the interhelix forces responsible for the DNA pairing. In other words, under the presented superhydrophobic evaporation conditions, the aggregation of ds λ-DNA molecules leads to an ordered fiber structure along z axis direction. To further support this view, in Figure 5c, we report the calculation of the aggregation free energy as a function of the double helix misalignment in z direction. The minimum energy is reached when the alignment condition between the ds λ-DNA filaments is fulfilled with a value, about 12$k_B T$, that is big enough for a stable configuration during the latest phase of DNA dewetting.

We point out at this stage that, incidentally and ironically, our sample preparation route has a strong resemblance with the method used by Wilkins et al. in the historical experimental tour de force that brought to DNA structure determination. The pulling and drying fiber preparation by Wilkins et al. was similar to the present method, where the pulling function is played by the convection, and drop receding shear force as well as the drying is played by the evaporation assisted by super hydrophobic surface. The two sample preparation methods lead to similar results, even if the aggregation length scale dominating in our experiment is 3 orders of magnitude smaller than that in Franklin experiment: the fibers obtained by Franklin et al. had a typical diameter in the range of tens of micrometers, instead in our case the fiber diameter falls in the range of tens of nanometers. We demonstrated a new preparation method that, based on the use of nanofabricated device, through the evaporation assisted by super hydrophobic self-molecular aggregation, allows the DNA fibers TEM imaging in background free conditions. For these main reasons, after almost 60 years since the first X-ray diffraction images, we were able to obtain, for the first time, a clear direct and completely background-free image of DNA double stranded in A conformation by a simple and fast preparation method.

Future developments based on our achievement could be of great interest for genetic and epigenetic analysis, for the understanding on how DNA damage and its repair affect the (epi-) genome over a lifetime, and how these changes impact on age-related pathology and malignant transformation.

Furthermore, there is room for strong further improvements, both in terms of comprehension of the whole mechanism presiding to the DNA bundle formation (a possible super-coiling organization cannot be completely excluded), of sample

Figure 4. Evaporation and aggregation mechanism. The most practical property of these super hydrophobic surfaces is a reduced friction coefficient (about 0.02 for the present configuration) on account of which they can be conveniently used to deposit, suspend and stretch double stranded λ-DNA molecules between two or more pillars. During the evaporation, the pinning of the drop is avoided, after the evaporation, DNA molecules are suspended and well tense between the pillars and, more importantly, many DNA bundles are in correspondence of the holes. In the aggregation mechanism, capillary forces are responsible for pushing together the ds DNA filaments during the last phase of the evaporation. In particular, shear forces generated by a radial convection flux tend to stretch ds DNA filaments between the pillars, while capillary forces, perpendicular to those shear forces, pack the filaments into bundles during the latest drying phase.

Figure 5. Molecular dynamics simulations of DNA double helices aggregation. (a) Conformations of the paired DNA molecules at the beginning of the simulation and after 5 ns at different hydration conditions; the numbers (Å) refer to the thickness of the hydration layer. (b) Time-evolution of the distance between the centers of mass of the dodecamers along the simulations at different degrees of hydration. (c) Interaction energy (potential of mean force) between the DNA molecules in the 0.3 nm solvation layer as a function of their relative vertical displacement. High and low energy values are highlighted with red circles, and the corresponding conformations are shown.
preparation and TEM analytical perspectives. In the latter case, a new generation of detectors, with increased sensitivity and higher contrast, will permit low dose imaging so that even single DNA helix would resist to high-energy electron beam damage, allowing high quality image detection at single nucleotide level.

**Methods. Design and Fabrication of the Devices.** Double polished, (100), 50 μm, p-type thin silicon wafers were purchased from Si-Mat (Silicon Materials, Kaufering, Germany). They were cleaned with acetone and isopropanol to remove possible contaminant and then etched with a 4% wet HF solution. The wafers were then rinsed with DI water and dried with N₂. A 100 nm layer of chrome was deposited upon the back side of the substrates using a sputter coater (Q150TES Quorum Technologies, Dixon, CA). Standard optical lithography techniques (Suss Microtec MA6/BA6, Sunnyvale, CA) were employed to realize a regular pattern of disks within a layer of positive resist (SPR220), that was spin-coated onto the chrome layer. Therefore, the chrome was removed from the disks by exposing them for 50 s to a standard chrome etching solution (ETCH 18 from OSC-Organo Spezial Chemie, Bitterfeld, Germany). Upon removal of the residual resist with acetone and oxygen plasma, a Deep Reactive Ion Etching (DRIE) process (SI 500 Sencent Instruments GmbH, Berlin, Germany) was used to etch holes passing through the substrate, the patterned chrome layer serving as a mask. The samples were then immersed in a bath of chrome etching solution to dissolve the remaining chrome. The substrate was then turned upside down, and the fabrication process was pursued on the front side of the samples. A second lithography exposure step was used to realize a regular hexagonal pattern of disks within a layer of negative resist (AZS214 from Microchemicals GmbH, Ulm, Germany). The disks were positioned exactly on the center to center distances, aligned along their axes in a hexagonal pattern, with ideally the same orientation. The TEM images were performed by using the xHREM v3.5 package (HREM Research Inc.), according to the “multislice method”, in which the bundle was divided in 10 phase grating planes of atoms, at which the incident front wave of electrons is dynamically scattered and propagated. The image is calculated taking into account the additional phase and amplitude effects due to the instrument (defocus and aberrations). The choice of a defocus (about −1 μm) of the images acquired at the transmission microscopes boosts the low frequencies in the images, permitting to resolve the period of A-DNA (around 2.8 nm), while cutting the high frequencies, so losing the information on the base positions, but with the advantage of cutting noise, which will otherwise affect the in-focus images at such low dose and low contrast due to the low atomic potentials of the constituent atoms. Further details on TEM image simulations are reported in Supporting Information.

**Molecular Dynamics (MD) Simulations.** MD simulations were performed using the program NAMD and the CHARMM27 force field for DNA molecules. Two filaments of sequence d(GCGAATTTTGGC), in A conformation were immersed in a box of 12.331 equilibrated TIP3P water molecules together with 76 Na⁺ and 32 Cl⁻ atoms, which amounts to a 0.137 M solution plus excess sodium ions to neutralize the system. The dodecamers were aligned along the z-axis, placed at a relative center-to-center distance of about 2.8 nm and zero relative vertical displacement. The full system was simulated with DNA molecules fixed for 10 ns using periodic boundary conditions (PBC), constant temperature (298 K) and constant pressure (1 atm). Electrostatic interactions were computed with the particle-mesh Ewald method. Temperature and pressure were kept constant using Langevin dynamics and the Langevin Nosé–Hoover method as implemented in NAMD. After the cloud of ions equilibrated around the fixed DNA molecules, we built different systems at different degrees of hydration, by isolating from the full system the dodecamers, the water molecules, and the sodium atoms included in shells of 1, 0.5, and 0.3 nm from the DNAs. The resulting systems were simulated for 5 ns without PBC and using a 10 nm cutoff for electrostatic interactions. The orientation of the dodecamers along z was kept fixed by a restraint potential. The free energy for the vertical displacement of the dodecamers was computed for the system with solvation shell 0.3 nm by integrating the mean forces calculated along 14 different restrained simulations, each with the filaments held at different relative displacement by harmonic potentials. The DNA molecules
were maintained in the A conformation using a restraint on their root-mean-square deviation. Each restrained simulation lasted 1 ns to obtain convergence of the mean force estimator.
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